

Attachment C
Page 1 of 19
File Number: 2004-0651
No. 04-26

E11936

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This form is provided as a notification of an intent to adopt a Negative Declaration which has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #118-04.

PROJECT TITLE:

Application for a Use Permit and Tentative Map filed by Hybernia Group

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

Application for related proposals on a 3.5-acre site located at 1208 East Arques Avenue and 1215 Apollo Way in an M-S (Industrial and Service) Zoning District. (APN: 216-35-027)

- Use Permit to allow the subdivision of two existing buildings into 34 industrial condominium
- Tentative Map to subdivide one lot into 34 condominium lots and one common lot.

WHERE TO VIEW THIS DOCUMENT:

The **Negative Declaration**, its supporting documentation and details relating to the project are on file and available for review and comment in the Office of the Secretary of the Planning Commission, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnvvale.

This Negative Declaration may be protested in writing by any person prior to 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, October 5, 2004. Such protest shall be filed in the Department of Community Development, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a Negative Declaration will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may be appealed.

HEARING INFORMATION:

A public hearing on the project is scheduled for:

Monday, October 11, 2004 at 8:00 p.m. by the Planning Commission in the Council Chambers, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

TO XIC SITE INFORMATION:

(No) listed toxic sites are present at the project location.

Circulated On

September 15, 2004

Signed: ______

Corri Caruso Principal Planner



PLANNING DIVISION CITY OF SUNNYVALE P.O. BOX 3707 SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94088-3707

Attachment C Page 2 of 19 File Number: 2004-0651 No. 04-26

E11936

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This **Negative Declaration** has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #118-04.

PROJECT TITLE:

Application for a Use Permit and Tentative Map filed by Hybernia Group.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

Application for related proposals on a 3.5-acre site located at 1208 East Arques Avenue and 1215 Apollo Way in an M-S (Industrial and Service) Zoning District. (APN: 216-35-027)

- Use Permit to allow the subdivision of two existing buildings into 34 industrial condominium units,
- Tentative Map to subdivide one lot into 34 condominium lots and one common lot.


FINDINGS:

The Director of Community Development of the City of Sunnyvale, California, hereby determines that an environmental impact report is not required. There are sufficient environmental controls incorporated into the zoning regulations to ensure no significant detrimental effect.

The above determination is based upon the initial study conducted in this matter, information provided by the applicant in an "Application for Environmental Clearance" and is based on the fact that the use is in keeping with and not in conflict with the adopted General Plan, Zoning Ordinance and the Subdivision Ordinance. The use is specifically permitted by a Use Permit and the use would be in keeping with the character of the Zoning District. No endangered species are known to depend on this site for habitat.

This **Negative Declaration** may be protested in writing by any person prior to 5:00 p.m. on **Tuesday, October 5, 2004.** Such protest shall be filed in the Department of Community Development, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a Negative Declaration will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may be appealed.

Circulated On	September 15, 2004	Signed: (Janua) (anuso Gerri Caruso, Principal Planner
Adopted On		Verified:
•		Gerri Caruso, Principal Planner





California Department of Fish and Game CERTIFICATE OF FEE EXEMPTION

De Minimis Impact Finding

PROJECT TITLE/LOCATION (INCLUDE COUNTY):

The Use Permit and Tentative Map are located on 1208 East Arques and 1215 Apollo Way, City of Sunnyvale, County of Santa Clara in an M-S (Industrial and Service) Zoning District. APN: 216-35-027

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Application for related proposals on a 3.5-acre site located in an M-S (Industrial and Service) Zoning District.

- Use Permit to allow the subdivision of two existing buildings into 34 industrial condominium units,
- Tentative Map to subdivide one lot into 34 condominium lots and one common lot.

FINDINGS OF EXEMPTION:

- 1. This project is in an urban setting.
- 2. There is no alteration of land or effect on fish or wildlife.

CERTIFICATION:

I hereby certify that the public agency has made the above finding and that the project will not individually or cumulatively have an adverse effect on wildlife resources, as defined in Section 711.2 of the Fish and Game

Perri Paruso

Title: Principal Planner, Community Development

Lead Agency: City of Sunnyvale

Date: September 15, 2004

6

Page 4 of 19
Project Number: 2004-0651
Project Address:1208 Arques Av
Applicant:Hybernia Group

Project Title	Industrial Condos at 1208 Arques 1 1 9 3
Lead Agency Name and Address	City of Sunnyvale
	PO Box 3707 Sunnyvale, CA 94088-3707
Contact Person	Diana O'Dell
Phone Number	408-730-7452
Project Location	1208 Arques Av.
Project Sponsor's Name	Hybernia Group
Address	1208 Arques Ave
Zoning	M-S
General Plan	Industrial
Other Public Agencies whose approval is required	None

Description of the Project: This project proposes subdividing two existing industrial buildings into 34 condominium spaces with one common lot. As part of this project, new sidewalks will be installed on both the Arques and Apollo frontages. No new additions are proposed as part of this project. Uses in the building would be consistent with what is allowed in the M-S Zoning District with a range from retail, medical office, general office and R&D.

Surrounding Uses and Setting: The property is surrounded by industrial uses. To the west is Lawrence Expressway, and industrial buildings surround the site on the north, east and south. The southerly building has a mix of retail, industrial and office.

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS



- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as onsite, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 4. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 5. "Negative Declaration: Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section 17, "Earlier Analysis," may be cross-referenced).
- 6. Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c) (3) (d). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
- 7. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
- 8. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
- Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project
- 10. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a

Attachment C

Page 6 of 19
Project Number: 2004-0651
Project Address:1208 Arques Av
Applicant:Hybernia Group



previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

Attachment C
Page 7 of 19
Project Number: 2004-0651
Project Address:1208 Arques Av
Applicant:Hybernia Group

ELL 1906

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTO The environmental factors che at least one impact that is a "P following pages.	cked i	pelow would be potentially at	fected	by this project, invided by the checklist o	olving n the
☐ Aesthetics		Hazards & Hazardous		Public Services	
Agricultural Resources		Materials Hydrology/Water		Recreation	
Air Quality		Quality Land Use/Planning		Transportation/Tr	affic
Biological Resources		Mineral Resources		Utilities/Service	
Cultural Resources		Noise		Systems Mandatory Finding	gs of
Geology/Soils		Population/Housing		Significance	
I find that the proposed project COULI DECLARATION will be prepared. I find that although the proposed project a significant effect in this case becaus project proponent. A MITIGATED NET I find that the proposed project MAY hen ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT I find that the proposed project MAY his mitigated" impact on the environment, document pursuant to applicable legal based on the earlier analysis as described in the service only the service of the	ct coulc e revisie GATIVE ave a si is requ ave a "p but at l standa ibed on	I have a significant effect on the erons in the project have been made: DECLARATION will be prepared. gnificant effect on the environmentatived. potential significant impact" or "pote east one effect (1) has been adequerds, and (2) has been addressed battached sheets. An ENVIRONMI	vironme by or a s, and a entially s ately al y mitiga	ent, there will not be greed to by the n significant unless nalyzed in an earlier ation measures	
Ifind that although the proposed proje potentially significant effects (a) have pursuant to applicable standards and NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including proposed project, nothing further is re-	been ar (b) have revisio	alyzed in an earlier EIR or NEGAT beben avoided or mitigated pursua	IVE DE	ECLARATION at earlier EIR or	
Diana O'Dell	•			September 13, 2004	
Signature				Date	
Diana O'Dell Printed Name				City of Sunnyvale For (Lead Agency)	
					4 -4 46

City of Sunnyvale, Community Development Department-PO Box 3707 Sunnyvale, CA 94087

Page 4 of 16

Attachment C
Page 8 of 19
Project Number: 2004-0651
Project Address:1208 Arques Av
Applicant:Hybernia Group

926 RI Potentially Significant Impact Less than Sig. With Mitigation Less Than Significant Impact Source ŝ 1. AESTHETICS. Would the project: Have a substantial adverse effect on a \boxtimes 2, 94 scenic vista? Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to trees, rock \boxtimes 2, 94 outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its \boxtimes 2, 94, 101 surroundings? Create a new source of substantial light or \boxtimes glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area? 2, 94 2. AIR QUALITY: Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project: Conflict with or obstruct implementation of \boxtimes 3, 94, the applicable air quality plan? 100, 111 Violate any air quality standard or \boxtimes 3, 94, contribute substantially to an existing or 100, 111 projected air quality violation. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under 3, 96, 97, \boxtimes an applicable federal or state ambient air 100, 111 quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? Expose sensitive receptors to substantial 62. 63. 111. 112 \boxtimes pollutant concentrations? Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? \boxtimes 111. 112 3. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, 2, 94, 111, 112, 109 \boxtimes sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

			,		Attachment Page 9 of
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
D. Have a substantially adverse impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S Wildlife Service?				\boxtimes	2, 94, 111, 112, 109
c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?					2, 94, 111, 112, 109
d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?					2, 94, 111, 112, 109
e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?					2, 94, 111, 112, 109
Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?					41,94, 111, 112
CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:					
Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5?					10, 42, 60, 61, 94, 111
 Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resources pursuant to Section 15064.5 				\boxtimes	10, 42, 94
c. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				\boxtimes	10, 42, 94, 111
Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				\boxtimes	2, 111, 112
	riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S Wildlife Service? Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan? SULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project: Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5? Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resources pursuant to Section 15064.5 Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature? Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal	Have a substantially adverse impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S Wildlife Service? Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan? SULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project: Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5? Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resources pursuant to Section 15064.5? Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature? Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal	Have a substantially adverse impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S Wildlife Service? Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan? BULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project: Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5? Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resources pursuant to Section 15064.5. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature? Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal	Have a substantially adverse impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S Wildlife Service? Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan? SULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project: Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5? Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resources pursuant to Section 15064.5? Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature? Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal	Have a substantially adverse impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S Wildlife Service? Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation pan? SULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project: Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5? Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resources pursuant to Section 15064.5 Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature? Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal

MILLYE'6

Environmental Checklist Form

						achment (ge 10 of 19
		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	Physically divide an established community?					2, 11, 12, 21, 28
	b. Conflict with an applicable land use plan, policy or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				\boxtimes	31, 28, 111
	 Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural communities conservation plan? 					2, 41, 94, 111
6.	MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:					
	a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?					2, 94
	b. Result in the loss of availability of a locally- important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?		· [2, 94
7.	NOISE. Would the project result in:					
	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?					29, 111
	 Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? 				\boxtimes	2, 16, 26, 94, 111, 112
	c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?					2, 16, 26, 94, 111, 112
	d. A substantially temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?					2, 16, 26, 94, 111, 112
8.	POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:					
	Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension					2, 94

					Atta Pag	achment C <u>se 11 of 19</u>
		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	of roads or other infrastructure)?					
	 Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? 					2, 11, 111, 112
	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				\boxtimes	2, 11, 111, 112
9.	PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:					
	a. Schools?					2, 111, 112
	b. Police protection?				\boxtimes	26, 65, 66, 103, 104
	c. Police protection?				\boxtimes	26, 65, 66, 103, 104
	d. Parks?					18
	e. Other services?					111
10.	MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE					
	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?					2, 10, 26, 42, 59, 60, 61, 111, 112
	b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of the				\boxtimes	1, 2, 111, 112

Project Number: 2004-0651 Project Address:1208 Arques Av Applicant:Hybernia Group

Attachment C Page 12 of 19 Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant Less than Sig. With Mitigation No Impact Source past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)? Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse \boxtimes effects on human beings, either directly or 111, 112 indirectly? 11. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project: Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving: Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning UBC, UPC, Map issued by the State Geologist for \boxtimes the area or based on other substantial UMC, evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. Strong seismic ground shaking? \boxtimes Seismic-related ground failure, \boxtimes including liquefaction? iv) Landslides? X 4 Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral \boxtimes spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse? Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-a-B of the Uniform Building Code \boxtimes (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property? Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or \boxtimes alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the

disposal of waste water?

					Atta Pag	chment C
		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	TILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would project:					
a.	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?					2, 20, 24, 87, 88, 89, 90, 111, 112
b.	Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?					2, 20, 24, 25, 87, 88, 89, 111, 112
c.	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?					2, 20, 24, 25, 87, 88, 89, 111, 112
d.	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?					2, 20, 24, 25, 87, 88, 89, 111, 112
e.	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which services or may serve the project determined that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?					2, 20, 24, 25, 87, 88, 89, 111, 112
f.	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?					2, 22, 90, 111, 112
g.	Comply with federal, state, and local statues and regulations related to solid waste?					2, 22, 90, 111, 112
1	RANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC, Would the object:					
a.	Cause an increase in the traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?					2, 12, 71, 75-77, 111, 112
b.	Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management				\boxtimes	2, 12, 71, 75-77, 80, 84,

		.,			A	Attachment P <mark>age 14 of 1</mark>
		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
	agency for designated roads or highways?					
(c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?					2, 111, 112, 113
	d. Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)?					2, 12, 71, 75-77, 80, 84, 111, 112
(e. Result in inadequate emergency access?				\boxtimes	2, 111, 112
1	f. Result in inadequate parking capacity?					37, 111
(g. Conflict with adopted policies or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?					2, 12, 81, 111, 112
	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project?					
E	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials?					UFC, UBC, SVMC
1	b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the likely release of hazardous materials into the environment?					UFC, UBC, SVMC
(c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an exiting or proposed school?			·		UFC, UBC, SVMC
(d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?					UFC, UBC, SVMC
•	e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				\boxtimes	UFC, UBC, SVMC

Attachment C Page 15 of 19 Potentially Significant Impact Less Than Significant Less than Sig. With Mitigation Impact Source ŝ For a project within the vicinity of a private UFC, airstrip, would the project result in a safety \boxtimes UBC, hazard for people residing or working in the SVMC project area? Impair implementation of, or physically UFC, interfere with an adopted emergency \boxtimes UBC, response plan or emergency evacuation SVMC plan? Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving UFC, wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where \boxtimes UBC, SVMC residences are intermixed with wildlands 15. RECREATION\ Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or 2, 18, \boxtimes other recreational facilities such that 111, 112 substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or \boxtimes 2, 18, expansion of recreational facilities which 111, 112 might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? 16. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES: In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project: Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps \boxtimes prepared pursuant to the Farmland 94 Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nonagricultural use? Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural X 94 use, or a Williamson Act contract? Involve other changes in the existing \boxtimes 94 environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of

		,			Attachm Page 16
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
Farmland, to non-agricultural use			***		
HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:					
Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				\boxtimes	2, 24, 25, 111, 112
b. Substantially degrade groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre- existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				\boxtimes	2, 24, 25, 111, 112
c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or situation on- or off-site?				\boxtimes	2, 24, 25, 111, 112
d. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off site?				\boxtimes	2, 24, 25, 111, 112
Create or contribute runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				\boxtimes	2, 24, 25, 111, 112
f. Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?					2, 24, 25, 111, 112
g. Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?					2, 24, 25, 111, 112
h. Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				\boxtimes	2, 24, 25, 111, 112
Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of				\boxtimes	2, 24, 25, 111, 112

MALESS 6

Environmental Checklist Form

Project Number: 2004-0651 Project Address:1208 Arques Av Applicant:Hybernia Group

		,			Attachment Page 17 of 1
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Sig. With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No Impact	Source
the failure of a levee or dam?					
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?					2, 24, 25, 111, 112

Diana O'Dell09/13/2004Completed ByDate

Attachment C Page 18 of 19

- Center Environmental Impact Report (City of Santa Clara)
- Downtown Development Program Environmental 52. Impact Report
- 53. Caribbean-Moffett Park Environmental Impact Report
- Southern Pacific Corridor Plan Environmental 54 impact Report

Maps

- City of Sunnyvale Aerial Maps
- 56. Flood insurance Rate Maps (FEMA)
- Santa Clara County Assessors Parcel Utility Maps (50 scale) 57.
- 58.

Lists/Inventories

- Sunnyvale Cultural Resources Inventory List
- 60. Heritage Landmark Designation List
- Santa Clara County Heritage Resource Inventory 61.
- Hazardous Waste & Substances Sites List (State 62. of California)
- List of Known Contaminants in Sunnyvale 63.

Legislation/Acts/Bills/Codes

- Subdivision Map Act
- Uniform Fire Code, including amendments per 65. SMC adoption
- National Fire Code (National Fire Protection 66.
- 67. Title 19 California Administrative Code
- 68.
- California Assembly Bill 2185/2187 (Waters Bill) California Assembly Bill 3777 (La Follette Bill) Superfund Amendments & Reauthorization Act 69. 70.
- (SARA) Title III

Transportation

- California Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual
- California Department of Transportation Traffic Manual
- 73. California Department of Transportation Standard
- California Department of Transportation 74. Standard Specification
- Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip 75. Generation
- Institute of Transportation Engineers 76. Transportation and Traffic Engineering Handbook
- U.S. Dept. of Transportation Federal Highway 77. Admin. Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Street and Highways
- California Vehicle Code 78.
- 79. Traffic Engineering Theory & Practice by L. J. Pegnataro
- 80. Santa Clara County Congestion Management Program and Technical Guidelines
- Santa Clara County Transportation Agency Short 81. Range Transit Plan

City of Sunnyvale General Plan:

- Мар

- Air Quality Sub-Element Community Design Sub-Element Community Participation Sub-Element 5.
- 6. Cultural Arts Sub-Element
- Executive Summary
- 8. Fire Services Sub-Element
- 9.
- Fiscal Sub-Element Heritage Preservation Sub-Element 10.
- Housing & Community Revitalization Sub-11. Element
- 12. Land Use & Transportation Sub-Element
- 13. Law Enforcement Sub-Element
- Legislative Management Sub-Element 14.
- Library Sub-Element Noise Sub-Element 15.
- 16.
- Open Space Sub-Element. 17.
- Recreation Sub-Element
- Safety & Seismic Safety Sub-Element
- Sanitary Sewer System Sub-Element Socio-Economic Sub-Element 20.
- 21. 22. Solid Waste Management Sub-Element
- Support Services Sub-Element 23.
- Surface Run-off Sub-Element Water Resources Sub-Element
- City of Sunnyvale Municipal Code: 26.
- 27. Chapter 10
- Zoning Map
- Chapter 19.42. Operating Standards
- 30. Chapter 19.28. Downtown Specific Plan District
- Chapter 19.18. Residential Zoning Districts Chapter 19.20, Commercial Zoning Districts 31.
- 32. Chapter 19.22. Industrial Zoning Districts 33.
- Chapter 19.24. Office Zoning Districts
- 35. Chapter 19.26. Combining Zoning Districts
- Chapter 19.28. Downtown Specific Plan
 Chapter 19.46. Off-Street Parking & Loading 36. 37.
- Chapter 19.56, Solar Access 38.
- Chapter 19.66. Affordable Housing 39.
- 40. Chapter 19.72. Conversion of Mobile Home Parks to Other Uses
- Chapter 19.94. Tree Preservation Chapter 19.96. Heritage Preservation 41.
- 42 Specific Plans

- El Camino Real Precise Plan 43.
- Lockheed Site Master Use Permit
- 45. Moffett Field Comprehensive Use Plan
- 46. 101 & Lawrence Site Specific Plan
- 47 Southern Pacific Corridor Plan

Environmental Impact Reports

- Futures Study Environmental Impact Report
- 49. Lockheed Site Master Use Permit Environmental Impact Report
- Tasman Corridor LRT Environmental Impact 50 Study (supplemental)
- Kaiser Permanente Medical Center Replacement

Attachment C

- Santa Clara County Transportation Plan Traffic Volume Studies, City of Sunnyvale Public works Department of Traffic Engineering Division
- Santa Clara County Sub-Regional Deficiency Plan
- 85. Bicycle Plan

Public Works

- Standard Specifications and Details of the Department of Public Works
- Storm Drain Master Plan 87.
- Sanitary Sewer Master Plan 88.
- Water Master Plan
- Solid Waste Management Plan of Santa Clara 90. County
- Geotechnical Investigation Reports 91.
- Engineering Division Project Files 92.
- Subdivision and Parcel Map Files 93.

Miscellaneous

- Field Inspection
- Environmental Information Form 95.
- Annual Summary of Containment Excesses (BAAQMD)
- Current Air Quality Data
- Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program (EPA) Interim Document in 1985?)

- Page 19 of 19 Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG)
- Population Projections Bay Area Clean Air Plan
- 101. City-wide Design Guidelines102. Industrial Design Guidelines

Building Safety

99.

- Uniform Building Code, Volume 1, (Including the
- California Building Code, Volume 1)
 Uniform Building Code, Volume 2, (Including the California Building Code, Volume 2)
 Uniform Plumbing Code, (Including the California Piumbing Code)
- Uniform Mechanical Code, (including the 106. California Mechanical Code)
- National Electrical Code (Including California
- Electrical Code)
 Title 16 of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code

Additional References

- 109. USFWS/CA Dept. F&G Special Status Lists
- 110. Project Traffic Impact Analysis

- 111. Project Description112. Project Development Plans113. Santa Clara County Airport Land Use Plan
- 114. Federal Aviation Administration